

On Graviton Scattering Amplitudes in M-Theory

Katrin Becker[◇] and Melanie Becker[★]

California Institute of Technology 452-48, Pasadena, CA 91125

We compute graviton scattering amplitudes in M-theory using Feynman rules for a scalar particle coupled to gravity in eleven dimensions. The processes that we consider describe the single graviton exchange and the double graviton exchange, that in M(atric) theory correspond to the v^4/r^7 - and v^6/r^{14} -term respectively. We further show that the v^6/r^{14} -term appearing in M(atric) theory at two-loops can be obtained from the covariant eleven-dimensional four-graviton amplitude. Finally, we calculate the v^8/r^{18} -term appearing at two-loops in M(atric) theory. It has been previously conjectured that this term is related to a four graviton scattering amplitude involving the R^4 -vertex of M-theory.

December, 1997

[◇] beckerk@theory.caltech.edu

[★] mbecker@theory.caltech.edu

1. Introduction

M-theory is the ‘quantum version’ of eleven-dimensional supergravity. The low energy degrees of freedom and interactions of this theory are those of general relativity. However, if one wants to calculate e.g. radiative corrections to graviton scattering amplitudes one has to know how to describe the short distance degrees of freedom of M-theory. M(atr)ix theory [1] is a proposal that in the infinite momentum frame these degrees of freedom can be described in terms of Dirichlet zero branes. Graviton scattering amplitudes that are usually hard to compute (or even infinite) using standard quantum field theory techniques can be calculated in terms of a simple quantum mechanical model. So for example, the v^4/r^7 -term appearing at one-loop in M(atr)ix theory has an interpretation as a single graviton exchange diagram in eleven dimensions, while the v^6/r^{14} -term appearing at two-loops in M(atr)ix theory describes a correction coming from general relativity [2]. An example of a quantum gravity correction is the v^8/r^{18} -term appearing at two-loops in M(atr)ix theory [3].

In this note we would like to consider these three terms in the effective potential between two gravitons in some detail. In section 2 we will compute the v^4/r^7 - and v^6/r^{14} -terms using Feynman rules for supergravity. In section 3 we will show that the relativistic correction can be calculated using the covariant four-graviton amplitude in eleven dimensions [4] [5]. In section 4 we will make some remarks about quantum gravity corrections. The appendix contains more explicitly some of our calculations.

2. Single Graviton Exchange

In this section we would like to consider the scattering of two gravitons in eleven dimensions. The Feynman rules that we need to do this calculation were derived a long time ago by Feynman [6] and De Witt [7] (see also [8]). The vertices involving gravitons are rather complicated so that we will address the problem using a simpler model that captures the important properties of the calculation. First, we will be assuming that one of the gravitons involved in the process is heavy so that it can be treated as the source for the gravitational field in which the other graviton is scattered. Therefore, we will be

considering a problem of potential scattering. Our second assumption is that we can treat the ‘probe graviton’ as a scalar particle. The vertices describing the coupling of a scalar to gravity are simpler than vertices involving gravitons (see [7]).

We consider the eleven-dimensional¹ Einstein action coupled to a massless scalar field

$$S = \int d^{11}x \sqrt{-g} \left(\frac{1}{\kappa_{11}^2} R + \frac{1}{2} g_{\mu\nu} \partial^\mu \varphi \partial^\nu \varphi \right), \quad (2.1)$$

and we expand the metric around a flat Minkowski background

$$g_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{\mu\nu} + h_{\mu\nu}. \quad (2.2)$$

Here $h_{\mu\nu}$ is a small fluctuation that describes the source graviton field. The action for the ‘probe graviton’ φ then becomes

$$S_\varphi = \int d^{11}x \left(-\frac{1}{2} \varphi \square \varphi + \frac{1}{2} h_{\mu\nu} \left[\partial^\mu \varphi \partial^\nu \varphi - \frac{1}{2} \eta^{\mu\nu} \partial_\lambda \varphi \partial^\lambda \varphi \right] + “hh\varphi\varphi” \right), \quad (2.3)$$

where we have indicated explicitly the cubic vertex and only symbolically the quartic vertex whose explicit form can be found in [7]. The source graviton has vanishing transverse velocity and it moves with the speed of light in the eleven direction [2]. It is described by the Aichelburg-Sexl metric [9] whose only non-vanishing component is h_{--}

$$h_{--} = \frac{15N_s}{2R^2 M_{pl}^9} \frac{1}{r^7}, \quad (2.4)$$

where $p_-^s = N_s/R$ is the momentum of the source graviton and M_{pl} is the Planck mass that is related to the gravitational constant by $\kappa_{11}^2 = 16\pi^5/M_{pl}^9$. The action takes then the form

$$S_\varphi = \frac{1}{2} \int d^{11}x \left(-\varphi \square \varphi + h^{++} \partial_+ \varphi \partial_+ \varphi + \dots \right). \quad (2.5)$$

In the following we will consider the single graviton exchange process in the potential scattering description. The light-like compactification which is described in terms of a non-relativistic Schrödinger equation is relevant for finite N M(atric) theory [10]. The space-like compactification is described in terms of a relativistic Klein-Gordon equation which leads to additional terms in the effective potential that are of no relevance for finite N . We will further generalize these results to the double graviton exchange describing the relativistic corrections.

¹ We are using the signature $(-, +, \dots, +)$.

2.1. One Graviton Exchange in the Light-like Compactification

In the light-like compactification the equation of motion for φ that follows from (2.5) is

$$\left(\frac{1}{2m} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \vec{x}^2} + i \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} \right) \varphi = V \varphi \quad \text{with} \quad V = -\frac{1}{2m} h_{--} \partial_\tau^2. \quad (2.6)$$

Here $m = N_p/R$, $\tau = x^+/2$ and we have used that φ is in a state of definite p_- . Equation (2.6) has the form of a non-relativistic Schrödinger equation for a particle with mass m in an external potential V .

The S-matrix can be obtained using the standard quantum mechanics techniques. The free propagator for this massive particle is

$$K_0(\vec{x}_f, \tau_f; \vec{x}_i, \tau_i) = \theta(\tau_f - \tau_i) \int \frac{d^9 \vec{p}}{(2\pi)^9} e^{i\vec{p}(\vec{x}_f - \vec{x}_i) - iE(\tau_f - \tau_i)}, \quad (2.7)$$

where $(\vec{x}, \vec{p})$ denotes the nine-dimensional transverse space and $E = \vec{p}^2/2m$ is the light-cone energy. The plane wave solutions to the equation of motion have a non-relativistic normalization

$$\varphi(\vec{x}, \tau) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{9/2}} e^{i(\vec{p}\vec{x} - E\tau)}. \quad (2.8)$$

To first order the S-matrix is given by

$$S^{(1)} = -i \int \varphi_{\text{out}}^*(\vec{x}_f, \tau_f) K_0(\vec{x}_f, \tau_f; \vec{x}, \tau) V(\vec{x}, \tau) K_0(\vec{x}, \tau; \vec{x}_i, \tau_i) \varphi_{\text{in}}(\vec{x}_i, \tau_i) d\vec{x}_f d\vec{x} d\vec{x}_i d\tau. \quad (2.9)$$

After evaluating the different integrals we get²

$$S^{(1)} = -\frac{N_s N_p}{R^3 M_{pl}^9} \frac{v^4}{8(2\pi)^5} \frac{1}{|\vec{k}|^2}, \quad (2.10)$$

where $\vec{k} = \vec{p}_f - \vec{p}_i$ is the nine-dimensional momentum transfer. The effective potential is obtained by Fourier-transforming

$$V_{eff}^{(1)} = -\frac{15}{16} \frac{N_s N_p}{R^3 M_{pl}^9} \frac{v^4}{r^7}. \quad (2.11)$$

This is the result that was computed in [1], [11] and [2].

² Here and in the following we omit the factor $2\pi i \delta(E_f - E_i)$ that expresses energy conservation from all the scattering amplitudes.

2.2. One Graviton Exchange in the Space-like Compactification

The previous calculation can be repeated for a space-like compactification. In this case the equation of motion for φ has the form of a relativistic Klein-Gordon equation:

$$\left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \vec{x}^2} - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_0^2} + m^2 \right) \varphi = V \varphi \quad \text{with} \quad V = -h_{--}(\partial_{x_0} - m)^2, \quad (2.12)$$

where m is the eleven-component of the momentum. The solutions to the free equation of motion are now plane-waves with a relativistic normalization

$$\varphi(x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{9/2}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2E}} e^{ipx}, \quad (2.13)$$

where $E^2 = \vec{p}^2 + m^2$.

The S-matrix to first order is now

$$S^{(1)} = -i \int \varphi_{\text{out}}^*(y) V(y) \varphi_{\text{in}}(y) d^{10}y. \quad (2.14)$$

After performing the integration and a Fourier transformation we obtain

$$V_{eff}^{(1)} = -\frac{N_p}{R} \frac{h_{--}}{2} \frac{(\sqrt{1-v^2} - 1)^2}{\sqrt{1-v^2}}. \quad (2.15)$$

This result agrees with [2]. While in the light-like compactification the S-matrix contained only a v^4 -term (2.11), here we obtain a series in terms of v . The difference is due to the fact that in the second calculation φ obeys relativistic kinematics, while in the light-like compactification φ obeys a non-relativistic Schrödinger equation. These additional terms have no relevance for finite N M(atr)ix theory and are subleading in the large N expansion.

3. General Relativity Corrections

3.1. Double Graviton Exchange in the Light-like Compactification

Corrections coming from general relativity are described by the diagonal term in the table appearing in [2]. They have integer powers in κ_{11} . The simplest example of a correction of this type is the v^6/r^{14} -term appearing in M(atr)ix theory at two-loops. It can be obtained from the double graviton exchange or equivalently a second order scattering

process. Here we will evaluate the contribution involving two cubic vertices of the type (2.6). To second order the S-matrix described by (2.6) is given by

$$S^{(2)} = - \int \varphi_{\text{out}}^*(\vec{x}_f, \tau_f) K_0(\vec{x}_f, \tau_f; \vec{x}', \tau') V(\vec{x}', \tau') K_0(\vec{x}', \tau'; \vec{x}, \tau) \\ V(\vec{x}, \tau) K_0(\vec{x}, \tau; \vec{x}_i, \tau_i) \varphi_{\text{in}}(\vec{x}_i, \tau_i) d\vec{x}_i d\vec{x} d\tau d\vec{x}' d\tau' d\vec{x}_f. \quad (3.1)$$

We can evaluate the $\vec{x}_i$, $\vec{x}_f$, $\vec{x}$ and $\vec{x}'$ integrals exactly. From the τ , τ' integrals we get the contribution

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d\tau e^{i\tau(E_f - E_i)} \int_0^{+\infty} dt e^{it(E_f - \frac{\vec{p}^2}{2m})}, \quad (3.2)$$

where we have transformed to a new integration variable $t = \tau - \tau'$. The first integral again gives energy conservation but the second integral does not converge. We can evaluate it using a trick of Feynman and Hibbs [12]. Expression (3.2) can be written as

$$2\pi\delta(E_f - E_i) \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{i}{E_f - E + i\epsilon}, \quad (3.3)$$

where $E = \vec{p}^2/2m$. The S-matrix to second order takes then the form

$$S^{(2)} = \frac{N_p^3 N_s^2}{R^7 M_{pl}^{18}} \frac{v^8}{32} \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int \frac{d^9 \vec{p}}{(2\pi)^{10}} \frac{1}{|\vec{p}_f - \vec{p}|^2} \frac{1}{|\vec{p}_i - \vec{p}|^2} \frac{1}{\vec{p}^2 - \vec{p}_f^2 - i\epsilon} \quad (3.4)$$

This is a standard integral that appears in the computation of radiative corrections to Coulomb scattering [13] and we solve it explicitly in the appendix. The leading non-analytic behavior of this integral is

$$I = \frac{\pi^6}{256} \frac{|\vec{k}|^5}{p_f^2}. \quad (3.5)$$

In order to Fourier transform this expression we need:

$$\int d^9 \vec{k} e^{i\vec{k}\vec{r}} |\vec{k}|^5 = -2^{15} 3^3 5^2 \frac{\pi^4}{r^{14}}. \quad (3.6)$$

Up to a constant the final result for the effective potential is

$$V_{eff}^{(2)} \propto -\frac{225}{64} \frac{N_s^2 N_p}{R^5 M_{pl}^{18}} \frac{v^6}{r^{14}}. \quad (3.7)$$

This shows that this diagram indeed gives a contribution to the v^6/r^{14} -term. To get the exact numerical coefficient one would have to evaluate all possible double graviton

exchange diagrams. Moreover from the appendix we observe that the imaginary part of this amplitude is infrared divergent³. This is familiar from the calculation of radiative corrections to Coulomb scattering in four-dimensions [13]. To cancel this divergence one gives a mass to the photon and the sum over all polarizations gives a finite amplitude. Polarizations for the probe graviton will have to be taken into account here as well at some point. This calculation seems much harder because graviton vertices are rather complicated. The easiest way to proceed might be to compute this four-graviton amplitude using type IIA string theory as we do in the next section. Of course, we expect the loop amplitude to reproduce the result of the classical calculation of [2]. This is similar to the situation appearing in the four-dimensional Newton potential⁴ [15]. Here general relativity corrections can be computed through graviton scattering amplitudes or by a classical calculation in which the zero component of the Schwarzschild metric is expanded.

3.2. The Covariant Four-Graviton Amplitude

It is curious to see that in the space-like compactification the general relativity correction of the previous section can be computed from the covariant four-graviton amplitude [4] [5]. The sum of the tree level and one-loop contributions to the on-shell four-graviton amplitude in D dimensions is given by [4]:

$$(\text{kinematical factor}) \times \left(\frac{1}{stu} \frac{\Gamma(1 - \frac{\alpha'}{2}s)\Gamma(1 - \frac{\alpha'}{2}t)\Gamma(1 - \frac{\alpha'}{2}u)}{\Gamma(1 + \frac{\alpha'}{2}s)\Gamma(1 + \frac{\alpha'}{2}t)\Gamma(1 + \frac{\alpha'}{2}u)} + c_1 g^{(1)} + \dots \right), \quad (3.8)$$

where c_1 is a calculable number determined by unitarity [16] and s , t and u are the Mandelstam variables

$$\begin{aligned} s &= -(p_1 + p_2)^2, \\ t &= -(p_1 + p_4)^2, \\ u &= -(p_1 + p_3)^2. \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

Here 1 and 2 are incoming particles while 4 and 3 are outgoing and p_i describe their corresponding momenta. The first term in formula (3.8) represents the tree level contribution

³ Infrared divergences in quantum gravity can be treated in the same manner as in quantum electrodynamics [14].

⁴ We thank Steven Weinberg for pointing out this reference to us.

while the one-loop part of the amplitude $g^{(1)}$ is given by:

$$g^{(1)} = \frac{\kappa_{10}^2}{\alpha'} \int \frac{d^2\tau}{(\text{Im}\tau)^2} F(\tau) [F_2(a, \tau)]^{10-D}, \quad (3.10)$$

where $a = \sqrt{\alpha'}/R$ is a dimensionless parameter. The expression for $F(\tau)$ can be found in [4]. We are interested in the low-energy limit of this amplitude which corresponds to the zero slope limit $\alpha' \rightarrow 0$. The one-loop contribution is divergent for $D \geq 8$ (here we consider $D = 11$). The finite part of (3.8) reduces to

$$(\text{kinematical factor}) \times \left(\frac{1}{stu} + c_1 g_0^{(1)} + \dots \right), \quad (3.11)$$

where

$$g_0^{(1)} = \kappa_D^2 c(\gamma) [I_\gamma(s, t) + I_\gamma(t, s) + I_\gamma(s, u) + I_\gamma(u, s) + I_\gamma(t, u) + I_\gamma(u, t)], \quad (3.12)$$

is the asymptotic value of $g^{(1)}$ and $\gamma = D/2 - 4$. Here $c(\gamma)$ is a constant determined by the dimensionality of the space-time

$$c(\gamma) = \frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{\pi}{4} \right)^{\gamma+1/2} [\sin(\pi\gamma) \Gamma(\gamma + 5/2)]^{-1}. \quad (3.13)$$

The function $I(\gamma)$ is

$$I_\gamma(s, t) = t^{\gamma+1} \int_0^1 \frac{(1-x)^{\gamma+1} dx}{sx - t(1-x)}. \quad (3.14)$$

In $D = 11$ we get $\gamma = 3/2$ so that $c(\gamma)$ is:

$$c(3/2) = -\frac{\pi^2}{384}, \quad (3.15)$$

and $g_0^{(1)}$

$$g_0^{(1)} = -\frac{\pi^2}{384} \kappa_{11}^2 [I_{3/2}(s, t) + I_{3/2}(t, s) + I_{3/2}(s, u) + I_{3/2}(u, s) + I_{3/2}(t, u) + I_{3/2}(u, t)]. \quad (3.16)$$

The integrals $I_{3/2}$ can all be evaluated exactly but give rather complicated functions. Expanding around $t = 0$ we are interested in the leading non-analytic⁵ term in t . It is given by:

$$g_0^{(1)} = i\kappa_{11}^2 \frac{\pi^3}{384} \frac{t^{5/2}}{s} + \mathcal{O}(t^{7/2}). \quad (3.17)$$

⁵ The analytic terms correspond to contact terms after Fourier transforming.

The kinematical factor in (3.8) can be computed from the tree level contribution of this formula. We consider the case where the first graviton has vanishing transverse velocity, i.e. $p_1 = (M, 0, M)$, where $M = N_s/R$ is the mass. The second incoming graviton has $p_2 = (E_2, \vec{p}_2, m)$, where $E_2^2 = \vec{p}_2^2 + m^2$ is the relativistic energy, $\vec{p}_2$ is the nine-dimensional transverse momentum and $m = N_p/R$ is the mass. To leading order in the velocity we have

$$\begin{aligned} s &= -Mm\vec{v}^2 + \dots, \\ t &= -|\vec{k}|^2 + \dots, \\ u &= -s - t. \end{aligned} \tag{3.18}$$

Here we have set $|\vec{p}_2| = mv$ to leading order in v . As can be easily checked the result for the tree level contribution computed in [1] and [11] is reproduced with a kinematical factor $-\kappa_{11}^2 s^2 u^2$. The tree level amplitude then becomes

$$A_{\text{tree}} = \kappa_{11}^2 \frac{s^2}{t} = -\kappa_{11}^2 M^2 m^2 \frac{v^4}{|\vec{k}|^2}. \tag{3.19}$$

In order to obtain the potential we are interested in the Fourier transformed of this expression with respect to the momentum transfer. Using the relation

$$\int \frac{d^9 \vec{k}}{(2\pi)^9} \frac{e^{i\vec{k}\vec{r}}}{|\vec{k}|^2} = \frac{15}{2(2\pi)^4} \frac{1}{r^7}, \tag{3.20}$$

we obtain

$$V_0(r) = \frac{1}{2\pi R} \int \frac{d^9 \vec{k}}{(2\pi)^9} e^{i\vec{k}\vec{r}} A_{\text{tree}} = \frac{15}{16} \frac{N_p N_s}{R^3 M_{pl}^9} \frac{v^4}{r^7}. \tag{3.21}$$

In order to obtain this result we have included a normalization factor of $1/\sqrt{2E}$ for each state.

Using the above kinematical factor we can obtain the form of the one-loop contribution to the potential. The one-loop amplitude is given by:

$$A_{1\text{-loop}} = -\kappa_{11}^4 c_1 \frac{\pi^3}{384} M^3 m^3 v^6 |\vec{k}|^5. \tag{3.22}$$

We Fourier transform this expression using (3.6). Up to a constant the result for the one-loop contribution to the potential is then:

$$V_1(r) \propto N_p \frac{225}{64} \frac{N_p^2 N_s}{R^5 M_{pl}^{18}} \frac{v^6}{r^{14}}. \tag{3.23}$$

We observe that the covariant amplitude differs by a factor N_p from the result calculated in [2] using a classical picture. The difference is due to the fact that the covariant amplitude integrates over all eleven-dimensional momenta running in the loop while in the M(atrrix) theory calculation only states with fixed p_- are allowed to run through the loop. Both amplitudes are related⁶ by a phase factor times N_p . This has to be taken into account when loop diagrams are calculated while tree diagrams naturally agree.

4. Quantum Gravity Corrections

M(atrrix) theory not only allows us to compute corrections coming from general relativity but the much harder quantum gravity corrections. They have, in general, fractional powers of κ because a dimensionful parameter, the cutoff, is present in the calculation. An example of a correction of this sort is the v^8/r^{18} -term appearing in M(atrrix) theory at two-loops. The result for this term in the effective potential can be easily obtained by a simple extension of the calculation performed in [17]

$$S_{v^8} = \frac{3 \cdot 5^2 \cdot 7^2 \cdot 59}{2^{11}} \frac{N_s^2 N_p}{R^7 M^{24}} \frac{v^8}{r^{18}}. \quad (4.1)$$

Susskind conjectured that this term is related to a four graviton amplitude involving the R^4 vertex of M-theory [3] ⁷ From the M-theory point of view the following is known. The leading term in the M-theory effective action is the conventional Einstein term. However there could be corrections coming from higher dimensional operators:

$$R^2 + R^3 + R^4 + \dots \quad (4.2)$$

Fradkin and Tseytlin [22] have shown that

$$R^m = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad m = 0, 1, 2, 3 \quad (4.3)$$

at one loop. The one-loop correction to the effective action takes then the form:

$$S \propto \int d^{11}x \sqrt{-g} \Lambda_{11}^3 R^4, \quad (4.4)$$

⁶ We thank David Gross and Lenny Susskind for discussions on this.

⁷ Some discussion on this appeared in [18] [19] [20] [21].

where Λ_{11} is a dimensionful cutoff. This cutoff can be determined from the type IIA string theory, as was done in [23] and [24]. These authors decompactified the four-graviton amplitude of $d = 9$ closed superstring theory to calculate the R^4 interaction in eleven dimensions:

$$S = \frac{1}{2\kappa_{11}^2} \int \sqrt{-g} R + \frac{c}{\kappa_{11}^{2/3}} \int \sqrt{-g} t_8 t_8 R^4, \quad (4.5)$$

where c is a number that can be extracted from [23]. It is plausible to use this result to compute the analog of (4.1) from the supergravity point of view. While it seems hard to do a precise calculation because we expect polarizations and fermions to be relevant, it is not too hard to see that the four graviton amplitude involving the vertex (4.5) indeed gives a contribution to (4.1). We can use the probe-source picture of the previous sections⁸. Higher-order local curvature invariants in eleven-dimensional supergravity do not change the form of h_{--} [25]. The only effect of such a term is to change the action of the probe, so that the equation of motion for φ involves a new potential:

$$V(\vec{x}, \tau) \varphi \propto \left(\frac{\partial^2 h_{--}}{\partial \vec{x}^2} \right)^2 \partial_\tau^4 \varphi + \dots \quad (4.6)$$

This potential comes from the term $(R_{-r-r})^2 (R_{+i+j})^2$ of the interaction vertex. The dots indicate other contributions in which we are not interested. Up to a constant the effective action from the supergravity calculation is:

$$S_{v^8} \propto \frac{N_p^3 N_s^2}{R^7 M_{pl}^{24}} \frac{v^8}{r^{18}}. \quad (4.7)$$

The dependences on v , r , N_s , R and M_{pl} agree with (4.7), but we seem to find a disagreement for the dependence on N_p . While the M(atrrix) theory result is linear in N_p , the M-theory result is proportional to N_p^3 . It seems plausible that the origin of this discrepancy is again the fact that in the M-theory calculation states with eleven-dimensional momentum are running through the loops, while in the M(atrrix) theory calculation these states have a fixed p_- . This changes the N_p dependence of the result as we have seen in the previous sections. A more careful analysis including polarizations and fermions would have to be done to check if the numerical coefficient agrees. While the M(atrrix) theory

⁸ We thank Joe Polchinski for discussions on this.

calculation was an easy extension of our previous calculation [17], the DLCQ M-theory counterpart seems to be much harder [26].

One may want to ask at this moment: why should the supergravity calculation agree with the M(atric) theory calculation at all, especially because of the discrepancies found in the recent papers [27] [28] or why does the relativistic correction come out correctly in the calculation performed in [2]? Probably the most natural explanation⁹ would be that the quantities computed in [27] and [28] receive corrections in the large N limit, while the quantity computed in [2] might obey a non-renormalization theorem that guarantees that the supergravity result is reproduced by M(atric) theory for finite N . Our goal for the near future should then be to find out which quantities are not renormalized in the large N limit so that they can be computed with finite N M(atric) theory. Maybe an argument along the lines of [29] can be carried out here as well.

Note Added

These results have been presented in several seminars in October and November of this year and at the 3rd Workshop on Recent Developments in Theoretical Physics at CERN on December 9.

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank M. Dine, W. Fischler, M. Green, D. Gross, J. Maldacena, J. Polchinski, A. Rajaraman, J. Schwarz, S. Shenker and L. Susskind for useful discussions. This work was supported by the U.S. Department of Energy under grant DE-FG03-92-ER40701.

5. Appendix

In this appendix we are going compute explicitly the integral:

$$I = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int d^9 \vec{p} \frac{1}{|\vec{p}_f - \vec{p}|^2} \frac{1}{|\vec{p}_i - \vec{p}|^2} \frac{1}{p^2 - p_f^2 - i\epsilon}, \quad (5.1)$$

⁹ We thank Lenny Susskind for discussions on this.

where $p = |\vec{p}|$ and the same for $\vec{p}_f$. The above integrand can have poles when the denominator vanishes. In order to regularize them we introduce a "graviton mass" σ . At the end of the calculation we will be interested in the leading order behavior in terms of σ . Therefore we would like to compute the integral:

$$I = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int d^9 \vec{p} \frac{1}{|\vec{p}_f - \vec{p}|^2 + \sigma^2} \frac{1}{|\vec{p}_i - \vec{p}|^2 + \sigma^2} \frac{1}{p^2 - p_f^2 - i\epsilon} \quad (5.2)$$

to leading order in σ . First it is convenient to use the formula:

$$\frac{1}{ab} = \frac{1}{2} \int_{-1}^1 \frac{dz}{(a(1+z)/2 + b(1-z)/2)^2}, \quad (5.3)$$

to write:

$$\frac{1}{|\vec{p} - \vec{p}_f|^2 |\vec{p} - \vec{p}_i|^2} = -\frac{1}{4} \int_{-1}^1 \frac{1}{\Lambda} \frac{\partial}{\partial \Lambda} \left[\frac{1}{(\vec{p} - \vec{P})^2 + \Lambda^2} \right] dz, \quad (5.4)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{P} &= \frac{1}{2} [(1+z)\vec{p}_f + (1-z)\vec{p}_i], \\ \Lambda^2 &= \sigma^2 + \frac{1}{4}(1-z^2)k^2, \end{aligned} \quad (5.5)$$

and $\vec{q} = \vec{p}_f - \vec{p}_i$ is the momentum transfer. Using this identity I can be written in the form:

$$I = -\frac{1}{4} \int_{-1}^1 dz \frac{1}{\Lambda} \frac{\partial}{\partial \Lambda} \tilde{I} \quad \text{with} \quad \tilde{I} = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int d^9 \vec{p} \frac{1}{(\vec{p} - \vec{P})^2 + \Lambda^2} \frac{1}{p^2 - p_f^2 - i\epsilon}. \quad (5.6)$$

We will compute the real and the imaginary part of the above integral separately. In order to do this we use the identity:

$$\frac{1}{p^2 - p_f^2 - i\epsilon} = P.P. \left(\frac{1}{p^2 - p_f^2} \right) + i\pi \delta(p^2 - p_f^2), \quad (5.7)$$

where $P.P.$ denotes the principal part and δ is the Dirac delta function. we will first begin with the imaginary part. It is given by:

$$\text{Im} \tilde{I} = \pi \int d^9 \vec{p} \frac{\delta(p^2 - p_f^2)}{(\vec{p} - \vec{P})^2 + \Lambda^2} = -\frac{\pi^4 p_f^6}{12 P} \log \left(\frac{\Lambda^2 + (\vec{P} + \vec{p}_f)^2}{\Lambda^2 + (\vec{P} - \vec{p}_f)^2} \right). \quad (5.8)$$

Inserting in (5.6) we can get the result for the imaginary part of I to leading order in σ :

$$\text{Im} I = \frac{\pi^5 p_f^5}{6 q^2} \log \left(\frac{\sigma^2}{q^2} \right) + \mathcal{O}(\sigma^2). \quad (5.9)$$

The real part of $\tilde{I}$ is

$$\text{Re}\tilde{I} = \int d^9\vec{p} \frac{1}{(\vec{p} - \vec{P})^2 + \Lambda^2} P.P. \left(\frac{1}{p^2 - p_f^2} \right). \quad (5.10)$$

to get

$$\text{Re}\tilde{I} = \int_0^\infty dk \int d^9\vec{p} \frac{\sin k(p^2 - p_f^2)}{(\vec{p} - \vec{P})^2 + \Lambda^2} \quad (5.11)$$

This integral is straightforward to compute just a bit lengthy. Here we are only going to write down the terms of $\text{Re}I$ in which we are interested in. These are the terms that in the region for small momentum transfer are non-analytic. To leading order in σ they are:

$$\text{Re}I = \frac{\pi^6}{4} \frac{p_f^4}{(4p_f^2 - q^2)^3} |q|^5 \left(1 - \frac{q^2}{6p_f^2} + \frac{q^4}{80p_f^4} \right) + \dots \quad (5.12)$$

The leading non-analytic behavior of I in terms of $\vec{q}$ is then given by:

$$I = \frac{\pi^6}{256} \frac{|q|^5}{p_f^2} + i \frac{\pi^5}{6} \frac{p_f^5}{q^2} \log \left(\frac{\sigma^2}{q^2} \right) + \dots \quad (5.13)$$

References

- [1] T. Banks, W. Fischler, S. H. Shenker and L. Susskind, “M Theory as a Matrix Model: A Conjecture”, *Phys. Rev.* **D55** (1997) 5112, hep-th/9610043.
- [2] K. Becker, M. Becker, J. Polchinski and A. Tseytlin, ”Higher Order Graviton Scattering in M(atrix) Theory”, *Phys. Rev.* **D56** (1997) 3174, hep-th/9706072.
- [3] L. Susskind, Talk at Strings ‘97.
- [4] M. B. Green, J. H. Schwarz and L. Brink, “ $N = 4$ Yang-Mills and $N = 8$ Supergravity as Limits of String Theories”, *Nucl. Phys.* **B198** (1982) 474.
- [5] J. G. Russo and A. A. Tseytlin, “One Loop Four Graviton Amplitude in Eleven-Dimensional Supergravity”, Imperial College preprint, IMPERIAL-TP-96-97-53, hep-th/9707134.
- [6] R. P. Feynman, “Quantum Theory of Gravitation”, *Acta Phys. Polon.* **24** (1963) 697.
- [7] B. S. De Witt, ”Quantum Theory of Gravity”, *Phys. Rev.* **D162** (1966) 162.
- [8] S. Sannan, ”Gravity as the Limit of the Type-II Superstring Theory”, *Phys. Rev.* **D34** (1986) 1749.
- [9] P. C. Aichelburg and R. U. Sexl, ”On the Gravitational Field of a Massless Particle”, *Gen. Rel.* **2**, No.4 (1971) 303.
- [10] L. Susskind, “Another Conjecture about M(atrix) Theory”, Stanford preprint SU-ITP-97-11, hep-th/9704080.
- [11] D. Berenstein and R. Corrado, “M(atrix) Theory in Various Dimensions”, *Phys. Lett.* **B406** (1997) 37, hep-th/9702108.
- [12] R. P. Feynman and A. R. Hibbs, ”Quantum Mechanics and Path Integrals” McGraw-Hill Book Company, 1965.
- [13] A. I. Akhiezer and V. B. Berestetskii, “Quantum Electrodynamics”, Interscience Monographs and Texts in Physics and Astronomy”, Vol. XI, New York, 1965.
- [14] S. Weinberg, “Infrared Photons and Gravitons”, *Phys. Rev.* **140** (1965) 516.
- [15] J. F. Donoghue, “Leading Quantum Correction to the Newton Potential”, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **72** (1994) 2996.
- [16] N. Sakai and Y. Tanii, “One-Loop Amplitudes and Effective Action in Superstring Theories”, *Nucl. Phys.* **B287** (1987) 457.
- [17] K. Becker and M. Becker, “A Two-Loop Test of M(atrix) Theory”, *Nucl. Phys.* **B506** (1997) 48, hep-th/9705091.
- [18] P. Berglund and D. Minic, “A Note on Effective Lagrangians in Matrix Theory”, preprint EFI-97-37, hep-th/9708063.
- [19] M. Serone, “A Comment on the R^4 Coupling in M(atrix) Theory”, preprint UVA-WINS-WISK-97-13, hep-th/9711031.

- [20] E. Keski-Vakkuri and P. Kraus, “Short Distance Contributions to Graviton-Graviton Scattering: Matrix Theory versus Supergravity”, Caltech preprint CALT-68-2148, hep-th/9712013.
- [21] V. Balasubramanian, R. Gopakumar and F. Larsen, “Gauge Theory, Geometry and the Large N Limit”, Preprint HUTP-97/A095, hep-th/9712077.
- [22] E. S. Fradkin and A. A. Tseytlin, “Quantum Properties of Higher Dimensional and Dimensionally Reduced Supersymmetric Theories”, *Nucl. Phys.* **B227** (1983) 252.
- [23] M. B. Green and P. Vanhove, “D-Instantons, Strings and M-Theory”, *Phys. Lett.* **B408** (1997) 122.
- [24] M. B. Green, M. Gutperle and P. Vanhove, “One Loop in Eleven Dimensions”, *Phys. Lett.* **B409** (1997) 177.
- [25] G. T. Horowitz and A. R. Steif, “Space-Time Singularities in String Theory” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **64** (1990) 260.
- [26] S. Hellerman and J. Polchinski, “Compactification in the Lightlike Limit”, preprint NSF-ITP-97-139, hep-th/9711037.
- [27] M. Dine and A. Rajaraman, “Multigraviton Scattering in the Matrix Model”, preprint SLAC-PUB-7676, hep-th/9710174.
- [28] M. R. Douglas and H. Ooguri, “Why Matrix Theory is Hard”, preprint LBL-40889, hep-th/9710178.
- [29] J. Maldacena, “The Large N Limit of Superconformal Field Theories and Supergravity”, preprint HUTP-98-A097, hep-th/9711200.